BARTON & LOGUIDICE, D.P.C.

ADDENDUM NO. 3

TO

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

FOR

LEACHATE STORAGE TANK LINER PROJECT

LYCOMING COUNTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SERVICES LYCOMING COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

TO ALL HOLDERS OF CONTRACT DOCUMENTS:

The attention of Proposers is called to the following changes to the Request for Proposals and shall be taken into account in the preparation of proposals.

This Addendum is part of the Contract Documents in accordance with Article 6 and Article 7 of the AGREEMENT.

Proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 3 on page 1 of the Proposal Form in accordance with Attachment D, PROPOSAL FORM.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Description

INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS, 2.17 Submittal of Proposal

DELETE:

2.17.1 Proposals, including all their component parts, shall be submitted no later than the following time, and at the following place: Controller of the County of Lycoming, at Suite 204, Executive Plaza, 330 Pine Street, Williamsport, PA 17701, until **5:00 PM local time on the 30th of October 2020**. The Proposals received will be publicly opened and read at the following regular meeting of the Lycoming County Commissioners at 10:00 AM local time.

SUBSTITUTE THEREFOR:

2.17.1 Proposals, including all their component parts, shall be submitted no later than the following time, and at the following place: Controller of the County of Lycoming, at Suite 204, Executive Plaza, 330 Pine Street, Williamsport, PA

17701, until **5:00 PM local time on the 13th of November 2020**. The Proposals received will be publicly opened and read at the following regular meeting of the Lycoming County Commissioners at 10:00 AM local time.

Question		Response
12	Due to the technical complexity of the project, can the submission date be extended to November 13, 2020 (2 weeks) for additional time needed to prepare our proposal.	Yes, the proposal date will be revised.
13	Can an updated site plan be made available for our use?	A copy of the December 2019 survey is contained as Attachment No. 3-1
14	Contract Times 2.02 and 2.03 – Question was asked at pre-bid meeting regarding contract times and what they will be? Can the contract times be revised and will the liquidated damages as stated remain in the contract?	We want the Proposer to present their schedule, one that they will meet and one that recognizes the critical importance of this work to the Owner and their operations. The INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS, Article 2.11 Contract Times states that the Proposer shall set forth in the Proposal (Attachment B-14 Schedule Statement of proposed Design/Build Milestones) the time by which Proposer shall achieve Substantial Completion.
		The Proposer should present their estimate of what they propose as a project schedule, based on their approach, including permitting timeframes, progress work review meetings with the Owner, critical work task components, milestones, etc. and forecast completion. The intent would be for the Owner to negotiate a final contract timeframe with the successful proposer and that schedule would have the liquidated damages conditions applied and the project would be managed under that contract schedule. Attachment F, Article 2.02 Contract Times: Days, of the RFP contains an anticipated schedule. This will be revised to reflect the negotiated final contract.
15	Post Construction Warranty 4.14 – If the proposer will not provide the 50 years warranty will his bid be rejected as non-compliant? Will the county consider a different term for	The intent is to have a solid contractual backing by the contractor on the long term performance of this leachate tank structure.

QUESTIONS RECEIVED FROM POTENTIAL PROPOSERS

	the warranty period; e.g. 10 or 20 years?	The Proposer should propose the warranty structure and duration they will be able to provide, if they cannot meet the 50-year limit stated in the RFP.
16	Attachment B - 5.0 – Can the existing HDPE Studliner walls be used to facilitate leak detection?	The preliminary response is possibly. The Proposer will have to provide more details of the complete design to allow understanding of the total proposed system redesign and function. The existing Studliner-lined walls are not "guaranteed" to be leak-proof. Therefore, if they are proposed as a component of leak detection/collection, this must be considered for the system function and required environmental containment and protection standards set by PADEP.
17	Attachment B - 24.0 – Will ASTM D 7240, electric leak detection for conductive backed geomembranes, be acceptable in addition to ASTM D 7953?	Yes, ASTM 7240 field test protocol for conductive backed geomembranes will be acceptable.
<mark>18</mark>	Are alternatives to a "new complete liner system" acceptable?	As stated at the prebid meeting, "nothing is off the table" for consideration except for repair of the current liner system.
		However, the Proposer's proposed approach must describe how the proposed approach addresses the Performance Standards outlined in Attachment B of the RFP. The technical proposal must include details to support all aspects and components of the system and clearly describe the function of all components.
19	Who will apply for a prevailing wage determination on this project?	A copy of the prevailing wage determination is contained as Attachment No. 3-2
20	How will the proposals be evaluated?	INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS, Article 2.32 Evaluation of Proposals describes the evaluation process.

BARTON & LOGUIDICE, D.P.C.

Alder

John J. Wood, P.E. Senior Managing Engineer

ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE BY RETURN EMAIL TO: (sthompson@bartonandloguidice.com)

 Signature:

 Title:

 Company:
