

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL HHPD FY2020

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA’s evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The Plan Assessment identifies the plan’s strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Jurisdiction: Lycoming County, Pennsylvania	Title of Plan: Lycoming County 2020 Hazard Mitigation Plan Update	Date of Plan:
Local Point of Contact: John Lavelle	Address: 48 W. Third Street, Williamsport, PA 17701	
Title: Deputy Director		
Agency: Lycoming County Planning and Community Development		
Phone Number: (570) 320-2139	E-Mail: jlavelle@lyco.org	

State Reviewer: Ernest Szabo Telephone: (717) 651-2159 Email: erszabo@pa.gov	Title: PEMA State Hazard Mitigation Planner	Date:
--	---	--------------

FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Date Received in FEMA Region <i>(insert #)</i>		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption		
Plan Approved		

**SECTION 1:
REGULATION CHECKLIST**

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met.’ The ‘Required Revisions’ summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is ‘Not Met.’ Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)			
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS			
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Section 3 Pgs 24-28		
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Section 3.4 Pg 24-31		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Section 3.4 Pgs 30-31		
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	Section 5.2 Pgs 261-286		
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	Section 7.3 Pg 428		
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))	Section 7.2 Pgs 427-428		
ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS			

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Sections: 4.3.1.1- 4.3.11.2			
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Sections: 4.3.1.3- 4.3.11.4			
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard’s impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community’s vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Sections: 4.3.1.5- 4.3.11.5			
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Section 4.3.3 Pgs 64-71			
<u>ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Section 5 Pgs 261-286			
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 4.3.3 Pgs 72-77 Section 5.2.1.2 Pgs 270- 273; Section 6 Pg 294; 308-309			
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Section 6.2 Pgs 311-312			
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Section 6.4 Pgs 314-419			
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	Section 6.4 Pgs 314-426			
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	Section 5 Pgs 261-286			
<u>ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION (applicable to plan updates only)				
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 4.4.4 Pgs 258-260			
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 6.1 Pgs 296-307			
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Section 4.4.2 Pgs 246-253; Section 6.4 Pgs 419-426			
<u>ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION				
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Section 8 Pgs 429-431			
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))				
<u>ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
OPTIONAL: HIGH HAZARD POTENTIAL DAM RISKS				
HHPD1. Did Element A4 (planning process) describe the incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information for eligible high hazard potential dams?	Section 5.2.2 Pgs 289-290			
HHPD2. Did Element B3 (risk assessment) address eligible high hazard potential dams in the risk assessment?	Appendix H			
HHPD3. Did Element C3 (mitigation goals) include mitigation goals to reduce long-term vulnerabilities from eligible high hazard potential dams that pose an unacceptable risk to the public?	Section 6.2 Pg 132			
HHPD4. Did Elements C4-C5 (mitigation actions) address HHPDs prioritize mitigation actions to reduce vulnerabilities from eligible high hazard potential dams that pose an unacceptable risk to the public?	Section 6.4 Pgs 314-426			
<u>REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>				
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)				
F1.				
F2.				

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)			
<u>ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS</u>			

SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of the Plan Assessment is to offer the local community more comprehensive feedback to the community on the quality and utility of the plan in a narrative format. The audience for the Plan Assessment is not only the plan developer/local community planner, but also elected officials, local departments and agencies, and others involved in implementing the Local Mitigation Plan. The Plan Assessment must be completed by FEMA. The Assessment is an opportunity for FEMA to provide feedback and information to the community on: 1) suggested improvements to the Plan; 2) specific sections in the Plan where the community has gone above and beyond minimum requirements; 3) recommendations for plan implementation; and 4) ongoing partnership(s) and information on other FEMA programs, specifically RiskMAP and Hazard Mitigation Assistance programs. The Plan Assessment is divided into two sections:

1. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement
2. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement is organized according to the plan Elements listed in the Regulation Checklist. Each Element includes a series of italicized bulleted items that are suggested topics for consideration while evaluating plans, but it is not intended to be a comprehensive list. FEMA Mitigation Planners are not required to answer each bullet item and should use them as a guide to paraphrase their own written assessment (2-3 sentences) of each Element.

The Plan Assessment must not reiterate the required revisions from the Regulation Checklist or be regulatory in nature and should be open-ended and to provide the community with suggestions for improvements or recommended revisions. The recommended revisions are suggestions for improvement and are not required to be made for the Plan to meet Federal regulatory requirements. The italicized text should be deleted once FEMA has added comments regarding strengths of the plan and potential improvements for future plan revisions. It is recommended that the Plan Assessment be a short synopsis of the overall strengths and weaknesses of the Plan (no longer than two pages), rather than a complete recap section by section.

Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan provides a place for FEMA to offer information, data sources and general suggestions on the plan implementation and maintenance process. Information on other possible sources of assistance including, but not limited to, existing publications, grant funding or training opportunities, can be provided. States may add state and local resources, if available.

A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

Element A: Planning Process

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the planning process with respect to:

- *Involvement of stakeholders (elected officials/decision makers, plan implementers, business owners, academic institutions, utility companies, water/sanitation districts, etc.);*
- *Involvement of Planning, Emergency Management, Public Works Departments or other planning agencies (i.e., regional planning councils);*
- *Diverse methods of participation (meetings, surveys, online, etc.); and*
- *Reflective of an open and inclusive public involvement process.*

Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

In addition to the requirements listed in the Regulation Checklist, 44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans identifies additional elements that should be included as part of a plan's risk assessment. The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of:

- 1) *A general description of land uses and future development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions;*
- 2) *The types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas; and*
- 3) *A description of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate.*

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment with respect to:

- *Use of best available data (flood maps, HAZUS, flood studies) to describe significant hazards;*
- *Communication of risk on people, property, and infrastructure to the public (through tables, charts, maps, photos, etc.);*
- *Incorporation of techniques and methodologies to estimate dollar losses to vulnerable structures;*
- *Incorporation of Risk MAP products (i.e., depth grids, Flood Risk Report, Changes Since Last FIRM, Areas of Mitigation Interest, etc.); and*
- *Identification of any data gaps that can be filled as new data became available.*

Element C: Mitigation Strategy

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Mitigation Strategy with respect to:

- *Key problems identified in, and linkages to, the vulnerability assessment;*
- *Serving as a blueprint for reducing potential losses identified in the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment;*
- *Plan content flow from the risk assessment (problem identification) to goal setting to mitigation action development;*
- *An understanding of mitigation principles (diversity of actions that include structural projects, preventative measures, outreach activities, property protection measures, post-disaster actions, etc);*
- *Specific mitigation actions for each participating jurisdiction that reflects their unique risks and capabilities;*
- *Integration of mitigation actions with existing local authorities, policies, programs, and resources; and*
- *Discussion of existing programs (including the NFIP), plans, and policies that could be used to implement mitigation, as well as document past projects.*

Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (Plan Updates Only)

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the 5-year Evaluation and Implementation measures with respect to:

- *Status of previously recommended mitigation actions;*
- *Identification of barriers or obstacles to successful implementation or completion of mitigation actions, along with possible solutions for overcoming risk;*
- *Documentation of annual reviews and committee involvement;*
- *Identification of a lead person to take ownership of, and champion the Plan;*
- *Reducing risks from natural hazards and serving as a guide for decisions makers as they commit resources to reducing the effects of natural hazards;*
- *An approach to evaluating future conditions (i.e. socio-economic, environmental, demographic, change in built environment etc.);*
- *Discussion of how changing conditions and opportunities could impact community resilience in the long term; and*
- *Discussion of how the mitigation goals and actions support the long-term community vision for increased resilience.*

B. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Ideas may be offered on moving the mitigation plan forward and continuing the relationship with key mitigation stakeholders such as the following:

- *What FEMA assistance (funding) programs are available (for example, Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA)) to the jurisdiction(s) to assist with implementing the mitigation actions?*
- *What other Federal programs (National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Community Rating System (CRS), Risk MAP, etc.) may provide assistance for mitigation activities?*
- *What publications, technical guidance or other resources are available to the jurisdiction(s) relevant to the identified mitigation actions?*
- *Are there upcoming trainings/workshops (Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA), HMA, etc.) to assist the jurisdictions(s)?*
- *What mitigation actions can be funded by other Federal agencies (for example, U.S. Forest Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Smart Growth, Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Sustainable Communities, etc.) and/or state and local agencies?*

**SECTION 3:
MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (OPTIONAL)**

INSTRUCTIONS: For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met,’ and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET												
#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/township/village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
1	Anthony	Township	Dennis Buttorff	475 Glover Street, Jersey Shore, PA 17740	geritol48@comcast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
2	Armstrong	Township	James Dunn	502 Waterdale Road, South Williamsport, PA 17702	jdunn2492@icloud.com		Y	Y				
3	Bastress	Township	John Deitrich	518 Cold Water Town Road, Williamsport, PA 17702	bastress@ovalinternet.net		Y		Y			
4	Brady	Township	Linda Bower	1986 Elimsport Road, Montgomery, PA 17752	bradytp1@comcast.net		Y		Y	Y		

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identificatio n & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
5	Brown	Township	Dennis Paucke	18254 Rt 414 Hwy, Cedar Run, PA 17727	browntwp@frontier.com		Y	Y	Y	Y		
6	Cascade	Township	Joe Colucci	1456 Kellyburg Road, Trout Run, PA 17771	unclejoe10@verizon.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
7	Williamsport	City	Gary Knarr	245 West Fourth Street, Williamsport, PA 17701	zoning@cityofwilliamsport.org		Y	Y	Y	Y		
8	Clinton	Township	Matt Dodge	2106 Rt 54 Hwy, Montgomery, PA 17752	mdodge@clintonwp.net		Y	Y	Y			
9	Cogan House	Township	Lori Douglass	4609 Rt 184 Hwy, Trout Run, PA 17771	lori.douglass@gmail.com		Y	Y	Y	Y		
10	Cummings	Township	Mike Yohe	PO Box 117 Waterville, PA 17776	mike.yohe@yahoo.com		Y	Y		Y		
11	Duboistown	Borough	Ann Baker	2651 Euclid Ave, Duboistown, PA 17702	duboistownborough@comcast.net		Y	Y				

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identificatio n & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
12	Eldred	Township	Cody Hoover	5558 Warrensville Road, Montoursvill e, PA 17754	eldredt wp@ver izon.net		Y	Y				
13	Fairfield	Township	Jody Johnston	834 Fairfield Church Road, Montoursvill e, PA 17754	fairfieldt wp.jody @yahoo .com		Y	Y	Y			
14	Franklin	Township	Raine Ohnmeiss	61 School Ln, PO Box 85 Lairdsville, PA 17742	franklint s@wind stream. net		Y	Y	Y			
15	Gamble	Township	Joe Reighard	17 Beech Valley Road, Trout Run, PA 17771	jlr@goh- inc.com		Y		Y			
16	Hepburn	Township	Joe Hamm	1936 E Rt 973 Hwy, Cogan Station, PA 17728	jhamm @jersey shorebo ro.org		Y	Y	Y			
17	Hughesville	Borough	Richard Smith	147 S 5th St Hughesville, PA 17737	hughesv illemayo r@comc ast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
18	Jackson	Township	Stuart Hopkins	3809 Williamson Trail, Liberty, PA 16930	jackson_ townshi p@hot mail.co m		Y	Y				

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identificatio n & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
19	Jersey Shore	Borough	Dennis Buttorff	475 Glover Street, Jersey Shore, PA 17740	geritol48@comcast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
20	Jordan	Township	Raine Ohnmeiss	4298 Rt 42 Hwy, PO Box 8, Unityville, PA 17774	franklints@windstream.net		Y		Y			
21	Lewis	Township	Steve Sechrist	69 Main St, PO Box 118 Trout Run, PA 17771	troutrunsteve@gmail.com		Y	Y	Y	Y		
22	Limestone	Township	Dale Winter	6253 S Rt 44 Hwy, Jersey Shore, PA 17740	dalewinter@hotmail.com		Y	Y	Y			
23	Loyalsock	Township	Frank Pile	2501 E Third St, Williamsport, PA 17701	frankp.ry2k@comcast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
24	Lycoming	Township	Jeffrey Tempesco	328 Dauber Road, Cogan Station, PA 17728	jtempesco15@gmail.com		Y		Y			
25	McHenry	Township	Robert Groff	145 Railroad St, Cammal, PA 17723	elkbull8@hotmail.com		Y	Y	Y			
26	McIntyre	Township	Daniel Clark	PO Box 171 Ralston, PA 17763	clarkp43@aol.com		Y	Y	Y			

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identificatio n & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Requirements
27	McNett	Township	Charlene Fitch	1785 Yorktown Road, Roaring Branch, PA 17765	McNettTownship@Frontier.com		Y	Y				
28	Mifflin	Township	Renee Shedly	106 First Fork Road, Jersey Shore, PA 17740	mifflintwp@comcast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
29	Mill Creek	Township	Linda Hopkins	383 Highview Terrace, Muncy, PA 17756	lhopkins7@yahoo.com		Y	Y	Y			
30	Montgomery	Borough	Dennis Gruver	35 S Main St, Montgomery, PA 17752	ema61@windstream.net		Y	Y	Y			
31	Montoursville	Borough	David Hines	617 N Loyalsock Ave, Montoursville, PA 17754	dhines@ckcog.com		Y	Y	Y	Y		
32	Moreland	Township	Susan Luizza	1220 Moreland Township Road, Muncy, PA 17756	morelandtwplycco@windstream.net		Y		Y			

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identificatio n & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
33	Muncy	Borough	Dennis Holt	14 N Washington St, Muncy, PA 17756	boromanager@muncyboro.org		Y	Y	Y			
34	Muncy Creek	Township	Cari Buck	575 Rt 442 Hwy, Muncy, PA 17756	muncycreektwp@comcast.net		Y	Y	Y			
35	Muncy	Township	Gwen Pidcoe	1922 Pond Road, Pennsdale, PA 17756	muncytwp@comcast.net		Y		Y			
36	Nippenose	Township	Robert Breon	220 2nd St, PO Box 201 Antes Fort, PA 17720	nippenosetwp@comcast.net		Y	Y	Y			
37	Old Lycoming	Township	Matt Aikey	1951 Green Ave, Williamsport, PA 17701	matt.aikey@oldlycomingtwp.org		Y	Y	Y	Y		
38	Penn	Township	Keith Shaner	223 Election House Hill Road, Hughesville, PA 17737	penntwp@plyco@yahoo.com		Y			Y		
39	Piatt	Township	Dennis Buttorff	475 Glover Street, Jersey Shore, PA 17740	geritol48@comcast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identificatio n & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
40	Picture Rocks	Borough	Linda Sosniak	13 Main St, PO Box 410, Picture Rocks, PA 17762	Lsosniak @comca st.net		Y	Y		Y		
41	Pine	Township	Iva Mae Guillaume	925 Oregon Hill Road, Morris, PA 16938	cpema0 7p@veri zon.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
42	Plunketts Creek	Township	John Blair	179 Dunwoody Road, Williamsport, PA 17701	plcreekt wp@ver izon.net		Y		Y			
43	Porter	Township	Dennis Buttorff	475 Glover Street, Jersey Shore, PA 17740	geritol4 8@comc ast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		
44	Salladasburg	Borough										
45	Shrewsbury	Township	Dale Hessler	143 Point Bethel Road, Hughesville, PA 17737	shrewsb urytown ship@g mail.co m		Y		Y			
46	South Williamsport	Borough	Steve Cappelli	329 W Southern Ave, South Williamsport, PA 17702	steve.ca ppelli@s outhwilli amsport .net		Y	Y	Y			

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name	Jurisdiction Type (city/borough/ township/ village, etc.)	Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
							A. Planning Process	B. Hazard Identificatio n & Risk Assessment	C. Mitigation Strategy	D. Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation	E. Plan Adoption	F. State Require- ments
47	Susquehanna	Township	Lynn Hill	91 E Village Dr, Williamsport, PA 17702	lrhill11 @comca st.net		Y	Y		Y		
48	Upper Fairfield	Township	Todd Weltmer	4090 Rt 87 Hwy, Montoursvill e, PA 17754	tweltme r@iclou d.com		Y	Y				
49	Washington	Township	Susan Satteson	15973 S Rt 44 Hwy, Allenwood, PA 17810	washtw p44@g mail.co m		Y	Y		Y		
50	Watson	Township	Gene Zinck	5172 N Rt 44 Hwy, Jersey Shore, PA 17740	gzinck@ watsont ownship -pa.com		Y	Y		Y		
51	Wolf	Township	Jennifer Mausteller	695 Rt 405 Hwy, Hughesville, PA 17737	wolf183 4twp@a ol.com		Y		Y	Y		
52	Woodward	Township	Gary Knarr	4910 S Rt 220 Hwy, Ste 1, Linden, PA 17744	woodwa rdtwplyc o@comc ast.net		Y	Y	Y	Y		

